

Appendix F: Strategic Review Scoring Sheet Template

At the Unsolicited Proposals Evaluation the Committee’s discretion, they may utilize scoring sheets as part of the assessment of whether a Concept Submission should proceed to Detailed Submission (Phase 2).

A holistic approach should be adopted when scoring a Concept Submission and consider, amongst other things:

- The priorities of Augusta, Georgia (Government);
- Consideration of the submission’s value proposition and merit to the Government; and
- Whether certain criteria outweigh others in the context of the proposal being reviewed. A scoring sheet template has been provided to assist with a strategic Multi Criteria Assessment.

Scoring Criteria	1	2	3	4	5
1. Does the proposal strategically align with current Government policy and objectives? (1 = Weak; 5 = Strong)					
2. Does the Committee believe that the proposal will deliver net economic benefits to the Government? (i.e. will it generate jobs, business activity, stimulate urban renewal, etc. that would otherwise be foregone) (1 = No benefits; 5 = High benefits)					
3. Does the Committee believe that the proposal will likely have a net financial impact on Government? (I.e. will Government have to incur expenditure?) (1 = High impact; 5 = No impact)					
4. Does the proposal have the ability to generate additional cash flow revenues for the Government? (1 = No revenues; 5 = Substantial revenues)					
5. Does the Committee believe that the proposal will likely have an impact on the community? (1 = Negative impact; 5 = Positive impact)					
6. Does the Committee believe that the proposal will likely have an impact on the environment? (1 = Negative impact; 5 = Positive impact)					
7. Are the risks associated with the proposal acceptable to Government? (1 = Not acceptable; 5 = Acceptable)					
8. Is the proposal achievable in terms of delivery with respect to Government changes required and timeliness? (1 = Not achievable; 5 = Achievable)					
9. Intuitively, does the Committee believe that the proposal may be viable but lack					

Scoring Criteria	1	2	3	4	5
adequate information to make a decision? (1 = Not viable, no further info required; 3 = Possibly viable, more info required; 5 = Viable, no further info required)					
10. Does this proposal represent a value proposition that the Government should consider? (1 = No; 5 = Yes)					

[Reason for acceptance] / [Reason for rejection]

[Any recommendation for the Unsolicited Proposals Evaluation Committee to consider, e.g.:

- Any clarification or information requirements to be sought from the Proponent.]