

**Navigation Pane** Version 6.0

GENERAL	<b>ABOUT THE TOOL</b>	RAW HIC DATA	LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED				
RATING	CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA	RENEW. + EXP. THRESHOLD	RENEW. + EXP. RATING TOOL	NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD	NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL	ALTERNATIVE RATING TOOL	RATING RESULTS
RANKING	FUNDING CEILINGS + PRIORITIES	FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING					

## ABOUT THE CoC PROGRAM RATING & RANKING TOOL

### ABOUT THE TOOL

HUD is providing this Rating and Ranking Tool to help CoCs design and implement a comprehensive annual CoC competition application review process. It has several customization features so you can choose the rating factors that are most relevant to your CoC and the priorities your CoC has adopted to inform system (re)design.

DISCLAIMER: HUD is explicitly stating that use of this tool is optional, is not being promoted over other tools CoCs currently use, and does not guarantee:

- additional points in the Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Program (CoC) Competition;
- CoC applications will be consistent with all NOFO requirements; and
- HUD will award CoCs with full points or funding.

The tool provides a strong framework for implementing a data-driven rating process and a ranking process informed by system priorities and capacity analysis (if available) and it satisfies the objective criteria requirement in the FY 2022 CoC Program NOFO. HUD strongly encourages CoCs to read the CoC Program NOFO carefully to determine if there are new opportunities, priorities, or expectations that your CoC might need to assess outside this tool. The Priority Listing is the official project ranking record for the CoC Program NOFO. HUD is not requiring CoCs to use this tool, nor is it preferred over other rating tools or processes, use of the tool does not guarantee additional points on the CoC Program application. HUD has made this tool available to CoCs for use in their year-round NOFO planning process. Feedback on the tool is welcome.

Microsoft Excel 2003 or higher is required when using this tool. When opening the tool workbook, you might need to click "**Enable Content**", "**Enable Editing**", and/or "**Enable Macros**" buttons in the yellow bar at the top of your screen. This is necessary for the macros and formulas to run correctly within the spreadsheet. If you get an error message, please check to see if the yellow bar is present and click these buttons before attempting to use the tool further. If you encounter a bug while using the tool, click "**End**" in the error pop-up, then navigate back to this tab and click the "**Turn On Macros**" button in the top right corner to ensure the underlying code is not disrupted before resuming. CoCs can submit technical questions about the Rating and Ranking Tool, including requesting help with bugs in the Tool, through the esnaps competition AAQ desk.

Due to the complexity of the tool, problems might occur when multiple Excel files are open at the same time. To help alleviate this problem, you should close all other Excel files on your computer before running the following parts of the tool:

- Generating list of projects from Raw HIC data
- Populating rating results
- Generating project ranking

Users who get the red Security Risk bar when they open the tool will need to speak with their IT departments about their policies regarding macro-enabled Excel workbooks and how they can be reenabled for the Rating and Ranking process.

[For further guidance, see the Guidance Document on HUD's website.](#)

---

#### MIGRATING DATA TO NEWER VERSIONS OF THE TOOL

---

The version of the CoC Program Rating and Ranking Tool created for the FY22 CoC Program NOFO (Version 6.0 and higher) contains updates to the HIC and changes to how available funding in the NOFO is entered in the tool on the 'FUNDING CEILINGS + PRIORITIES' tab, CoCs are strongly encouraged to use the latest version for the FY22 CoC NOFO process. The Tool has been updated to reflect the FY22 NOFO to the greatest extent possible. CoCs are responsible for verifying that the rating and ranking process they use is consistent with the NOFO.

If a CoC has started using an earlier version of the Tool, the tool can be "upgraded" using the new Update Tool feature (see PROCESS FOR MIGRATING DATA USING UPDATE TOOL FEATURE). The feature will pull in all the CoC's entered data and specified criteria from the old version of the tool into the latest version of the tool, including data from all tabs up to the 'FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING' tab. The resulting file will have the same name as the old version of the tool, plus a "\_FIXED" suffix at the end.

If a CoC decides to use earlier versions of the tool designed for the FY21 NOFO process, they should review the HUD threshold requirements in the 'RENEW. + EXP. THRESHOLD' and 'NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD' tabs and the NOFO information in the "General Funding Information" and "HUD CoC Program NOFO Opportunities" sections of the 'FUNDING CEILINGS + PRIORITIES' tab carefully to take into account any changes in HUD requirements or NOFO opportunities in the FY22 CoC NOFO.

#### PROCESS FOR MIGRATING DATA USING UPDATE TOOL FEATURE

1. In the latest tool downloaded from the HUD Exchange page, navigate to the 'ABOUT THE TOOL' tab.
2. Click the "Update Tool" button in the top-right corner.
3. Follow the prompts to select your out-of-date tool with the data in it.
4. Wait up to 5 minutes for the tool to pull in all entered data and specified criteria. A pop-up will confirm the update is complete.







**LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED**

Sort projects by:

*You can sort the project list below using the drop down selection to the left. Please note that you cannot sort by "Renewal, New, Expansion...." until you have completed the green section of this form*

**Use your Grant Inventory Worksheet and project spending records to complete these columns**

Project			Project					Use your Grant Inventory Worksheet and project spending records to complete these columns				Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate, Ignore	
ID	Organization Name	Project Name	Type	General/DV	McKinney-Vento	McKinney-Vento: YHDP	McKinney-Vento: Renewals	Grant Number	CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	CoC Funding Requested		
EOAPSH	Georgia Housing & Finance Authorit	CSRA S+CR_T	PSH	General	No	No	No	GA0147	\$ 120,588	\$ 120,588	\$ 120,588		Renewal

LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED



= Auto-populated cell. Cannot be edited



**Check once you have confirmed the bed inventory listed for the projects below is consistent with the number of beds listed for each project in your CoC's Grant Inventory Worksheet.**

Project ID	Geo Code	All Fam Beds	DV Fam Beds	CH Fam Beds	Vet Fam Beds	Par Youth Beds	Beds HH w/o Children	Beds HH w/ only Children	All Ind Beds	DV Ind Beds	CH Beds HH w/o Children	CH Beds HH w/ only Children	Total CH Ind Beds	Vet Ind Beds	Single Youth Beds	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Fam (Yes/No)	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Ind (Yes/No)	Is 100% DV (Yes/No)
EOAPSH	190142	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	13	0	13	0	13	0	0	No	Yes	NO

## NAVIGATION

- Customize Threshold Requirements
- Filter Rating Factors
- Customize Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool
- Customize New Project Rating Tool

## CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

### CoC Threshold Requirements

*(Delete the X in the box next to any requirements you do not wish to include.)*

- Coordinated Entry Participation
- Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation
- Documented, secured minimum match
- Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally
- Project is financially feasible
- Applicant is active CoC participant
- Application is complete and data are consistent
- Data quality at or above 90%
- Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%
- Acceptable organizational audit/financial review

*(The first five requirements are the rating process either as Thresholds or Feasibility)*

## FILTER RATING FACTORS

Select project type to edit

Select...

*Using these drop-down menus, select which rating factors to show and customize*

Select special population

Select...

## CUSTOMIZE RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

*Delete the X in the box besides any rating factor below that you do not wish to include. If desired, adjust the factor/goal and point value for each measure. You can add additional locally-defined criteria below. See the Data Source Chart for information about where to obtain data to use in scoring.*

### Performance Measures

#### Length of Stay

	Factor/Goal	Max Point Value
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	30 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180 days	20 points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - TH Component (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180 days	10 points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - TH Component - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180 days	10 points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15 days	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15 days	10 points

#### Exits to Permanent Housing

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points

#### Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %	15 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10 points



CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %	15 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %	15 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %	15 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10 points

**New or Increased Income and Earned Income**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	8 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	10 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	15 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25 %	2.5 points

**Serve High Need Populations** *(select from drop-down menu)*

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Project focuses on chronically homeless people		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	95 %	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	95 %	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	95 %	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	95 %	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		points

**Project Effectiveness**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10 points

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points

**Equity Factors**

**Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	Yes	10	points

**Program Participant Outcomes**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes	10	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes	10	points

**Other and Local Criteria**

(select from drop-down menu)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes	10	points
-------------------------------------	----------------------	--	-----	----	--------

<b>Total Maximum Score</b>	RRH-General projects:	210	points
	RRH-DV projects:	175	points
	PSH-General projects:	210	points
	PSH-DV projects:	175	points
	TH-General projects:	210	points
	TH-DV projects:	175	points
	TH+RRH-General projects:	210	points
	TH+RRH-DV projects:	175	points

**CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL**

**Experience**

**Factor/Goal**

**Max Point Val**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	General-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	15	points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DV-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	15	points
	General-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria		

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

- for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases. 10 points
- DV-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases. 10 points
- General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants. 5 points
- DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants. 5 points

**Design of Housing & Supportive Services**

- General-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks. 15 points
- DV-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks. 15 points
- General-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. 5 points
- DV-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. 5 points
- General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. 5 points
- DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. 5 points
- General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs. 10 points
- DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs. 10 points
- General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization. 10 points
- DV-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization. 10 points

**Timeliness**

- General-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. 10 points
- DV-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. 10 points

**Financial**

- General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. 5 points
- DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. 5 points
- B. Organization's most recent audit:
  - General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess 5 points
  - DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess 5 points
  - General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' 5 points
  - DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' 5 points
  - General-3. Indicates no findings 5 points
  - DV-3. Indicates no findings 5 points
- General-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. 5 points
- DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. 5 points
- General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. 20 points
- DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. 20 points

**Project Effectiveness**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals	<u>95</u> %	<u>5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals	<u>95</u> %	<u>5</u> points

**Equity Factors**

**Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New project has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g))	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points

**Program Participant Outcomes**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review	<u>10</u> points

**Other and Local Criteria**

---

**Total Maximum Score**

General projects: 120 points

## RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: √ CSRA S+CR\_T (EOAPSH)  
 Organization Name: Georgia Housing & Finance Authority  
 Project Type: PSH  
 Project Identifier: EOAPSH

*Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.*

*If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
Threshold Review Complete



<b>THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS</b>	<b>YES/NO</b>
-------------------------------	---------------

*For each threshold, select "Yes" if applicant has fulfilled the threshold requirement and is eligible to submit an application.*

Yes to all

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative applicant and project applicants should carefully review the annual NOFA criteria each year.

### HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information, and maintains an active SAM registration annually.	Yes
2. Applicant has Valid UEI (Unique Entity Identifier) Number.	Yes
3. CoC Program Eligibility – Project applicants and potential subrecipients meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).	Yes
4. Financial and Management Capacity: Project applicants and subrecipients demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds.	Yes
5. Certifications - Project applicants submit the required certifications specified in the NOFO.	Yes
6. Population Served - The population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and the NOFO.	Yes
7. HMIS Participation - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers use a comparable database that captures the required HMIS data in addition to meeting the needs of the local HMIS.	Yes
8. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts – It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds unless.	Yes
a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or  b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD	Yes
9. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions – In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal government.	Yes
10. Pre-selection Review of Performance - If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD reviews information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), and the "Do Not Pay" website. HUD reserves the right to:	Yes
a) Deny funding, or with a renewal or continuing award, consider suspension or termination of an award immediately for cause;  b) Require the removal of any key individual from association with management or implementation of the award; and  c) Make provisions or revisions regarding the method of payment or financial reporting requirements	Yes

## RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: V CSRA S+CR\_T (EOAPSH)  
 Organization Name: Georgia Housing & Finance Authority  
 Project Type: PSH  
 Project Identifier: EOAPSH

*Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.*

*If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
 Threshold Review Complete

100%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
------------------------	--------

11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received Federal financial assistance, where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets Federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.	Yes
12. False Statements - A false statement in an application is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. Recipient or applicant confirms all statements are truthful.	Yes
13. Mandatory Disclosure Requirement - Recipients or applicants disclose in writing to the awarding program office at HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award within ten days after learning of the violation. Recipients that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in Appendix XII to 2 CFR part 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in § 200.338 Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. (See also 2 CFR part 180, 31 U.S.C. 3321, and S.C. 2313.)	Yes
14. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities - Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352, (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibit recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. All applicants submit with their application the signed Certification Regarding Lobbying included in the Application download from Grants.gov. In addition, applicants disclose, using Standard Form LLL (SFLLL), "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," any funds, other than federally appropriated funds, that will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, or congressional staff regarding specific awards. Federally-recognized Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) established by federally-recognized Indian tribes as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but state-recognized Indian tribes and TDHEs established only under state law shall comply with this requirement. Applicants submit the SFLLL if they have used or intend to use non-federal funds for lobbying activities.	Yes
15. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities – Projects ensure that all projects meet the requirements under 24 CFR 5.109. On April 4, 2016, HUD amended 24 CFR 5.109 consistent with E.O. 13559, entitled Fundamental Principles and Policymaking Criteria for Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations (75 Fed. Reg. 71319 (Nov. 22, 2010)). (See 81 FR 19355). These regulations apply to all HUD programs and activities, including all of HUD's Native American Programs, except as may be otherwise provided in the respective program regulations, or unless inconsistent with the respective program authorizing statute.	Yes
16. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters - Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline. Project applicants, who after review are confirmed to have civil rights matters unresolved at the application submission deadline, will be deemed ineligible. Their applications will receive no further review, will not be rated and ranked, and will not receive funding.	Yes

CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS
----------------------------

*For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".*

Coordinated Entry Participation	Yes
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Yes
Documented, secured minimum match	Yes
Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally	Yes
Project is financially feasible	Yes
Applicant is active CoC participant	Yes
Application is complete and data are consistent	Yes
Data quality at or above 90%	Yes

## RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: V CSRA S+CR\_T (EOAPSH)  
 Organization Name: Georgia Housing & Finance Authority  
 Project Type: PSH  
 Project Identifier: EOAPSH

*Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.*

*If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
 Threshold Review Complete

100%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%	Yes
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	Yes

## RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: v CSRA S+CR\_T (EOAPSH)  
 Organization Name: Georgia Housing & Finance Authority  
 Project Type: PSH (General)  
 Project Identifier: EOAPSH

[Print Blank Template](#)

[Print Report Card](#)

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
 Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

100%

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>				
<b>Length of Stay</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 15 days after referral to PSH	10 days	15	out of 20
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	90% remain in or move to PH	15 %	25	out of 25
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	20 %	10	out of 15
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>				
Earned income for project stayers	8%+ of participants with new or increased income	25 %	2.5	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	30 %	2.5	out of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	15%+ of participants with new or increased income	35 %	2.0	out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ of participants with new or increased income	40 %	1.0	out of 2.5
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			58	out of 70
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 95% of participants are chronically homeless	100 %	20.0	out of 20
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			20	out of 20
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>				
Project has reasonable costs	Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		20	out of 20
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	%	10	out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model		10	out of 10
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			40	out of 40
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>				
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation		8	out of 10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation		8	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience		10	out of 10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers		8	out of 10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations		10	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes		7	out of 10



## RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: v CSRA S+CR\_T (EOAPSH)  
 Organization Name: Georgia Housing & Finance Authority  
 Project Type: PSH (General)  
 Project Identifier: EOAPSH

[Print Blank Template](#)

[Print Report Card](#)

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
 Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

100%

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	[ ]	10	out of 10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>			61	out of 70
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>				
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	[ ]	10	out of 10
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			10	out of 10
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>				
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			189	out of 210
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			90	out of 100

### PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 120,588
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		\$ 30,000
Amount of private funding		[ ]
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>		<b>\$ 150,588</b>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 120,588
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ 120,588
<b>Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year</b>		<b>100%</b>

## NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_ *Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_ *If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

New Projects  
Threshold Review Complete

0%

### THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

YES/NO

*For each threshold, select "Yes" if applicant has fulfilled the threshold requirement and is eligible to submit an application.*

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative

Yes to all

### HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information, and maintains an active SAM registration annually.
2. Applicant has Valid UEI (Unique Entity Identifier) Number.
3. CoC Program Eligibility – Project applicants and potential subrecipients meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).
4. Financial and Management Capacity: Project applicants and subrecipients demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds.
5. Certifications - Project applicants submit the required certifications specified in the NOFO.
6. Population Served - The population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and the NOFO.
7. HMIS Participation - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers use a comparable database that captures the required HMIS data in addition to meeting the needs of the local HMIS.
8. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts – It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds unless.
  - a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or
  - b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD
9. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions – In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal government.
10. Pre-selection Review of Performance - If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD reviews information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), and the "Do Not Pay" website. HUD reserves the right to:
  - a) Deny funding, or with a renewal or continuing award, consider suspension or termination of an award immediately for cause;
  - b) Require the removal of any key individual from association with management or implementation of the award; and
  - c) Make provisions or revisions regarding the method of payment or financial reporting requirements


## NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

*Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

*If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

New Projects  
Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received Federal financial assistance, where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets Federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. False Statements - A false statement in an application is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. Recipient or applicant confirms all statements are truthful.	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Mandatory Disclosure Requirement - Recipients or applicants disclose in writing to the awarding program office at HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award within ten days after learning of the violation. Recipients that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in Appendix XII to 2 CFR part 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in § 200.338 Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. (See also 2 CFR part 180, 31 U.S.C. 3321, and S.C. 2313.)	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities - Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352, (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibit recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. All applicants submit with their application the signed Certification Regarding Lobbying included in the Application download from Grants.gov. In addition, applicants disclose, using Standard Form LLL (SFLLL), "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," any funds, other than federally appropriated funds, that will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, or congressional staff regarding specific awards. Federally-recognized Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) established by federally-recognized Indian tribes as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but state-recognized Indian tribes and TDHEs established only under state law shall comply with this requirement. Applicants submit the SFLLL if they have used or intend to use non-federal funds for lobbying activities.	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities – Projects ensure that all projects meet the requirements under 24 CFR 5.109. On April 4, 2016, HUD amended 24 CFR 5.109 consistent with E.O. 13559, entitled Fundamental Principles and Policymaking Criteria for Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations (75 Fed. Reg. 71319 (Nov. 22, 2010)). (See 81 FR 19355). These regulations apply to all HUD programs and activities, including all of HUD's Native American Programs, except as may be otherwise provided in the respective program regulations, or unless inconsistent with the respective program authorizing statute.	<input type="checkbox"/>
16. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters - Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline. Project applicants, who after review are confirmed to have civil rights matters unresolved at the application submission deadline, will be deemed ineligible. Their applications will receive no further review, will not be rated and ranked, and will not receive funding.	<input type="checkbox"/>

### CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

*For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".*

Coordinated Entry Participation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Documented, secured minimum match	<input type="checkbox"/>
Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally	<input type="checkbox"/>
Project is financially feasible	<input type="checkbox"/>
Applicant is active CoC participant	<input type="checkbox"/>
Application is complete and data are consistent	<input type="checkbox"/>

## NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_ *Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_ *If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

New Projects  
Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
Data quality at or above 90%	<input type="checkbox"/>
Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%	<input type="checkbox"/>
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	<input type="checkbox"/>

## NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

Print Blank Template

Print Report Card

New Projects  
 Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

0%

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>EXPERIENCE</b>		
Experience Subtotal	0	out of 0
<b>DESIGN OF HOUSING &amp; SUPPORTIVE SERVICES</b>		
Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal	0	out of 0
<b>TIMELINESS</b>		
Timeliness Subtotal	0	out of 0
<b>FINANCIAL</b>		
<b>B. Audit</b>		
Financial Subtotal	0	out of 0
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>		
Project Effectiveness Subtotal	0	out of 0
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>		
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>		
Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>		
Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or underserved populations	<input type="text"/>	out of 10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>out of 70</b>
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>		
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal	0	out of 0
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>		
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>out of 70</b>
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>		
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>		<b>out of 100</b>

### PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	\$	-
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>-</b>

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab





Sort projects by:

You can sort the projects using the drop-down menu.

## RATING TABLE

Project ID	Grant Number	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate
		#N/A

# ALTERNATIVE RATING TOOL

*project list below  
own selection to the left.*

**Project Name**

**Organization Name**





*If you make any edits, i  
to save before moving*

Yes to all threshold requirements

**ENTER VALUES FOR ALL PROJECTS**

Project Type	General/ DV	Met All HUD Threshold Requirements	Met All CoC Threshold Requirements	Weighted Rating Score (out of 100)

*By default, the thresho  
score values will pull fr  
individually saved proje*

*make sure  
on.*

*Id and  
om any  
ects*

## RATING RESULTS

Sort projects by:

*You can sort the project list below using the drop down selection to the left.*

*Make sure to save any rating you've done before running.*



### RATING RESULTS

Project ID	Grant Number	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate	Project Name	Organization Name	Project Type	General/DV	McKinney - Vento: YHDP	McKinney- Vento: YHDP Renewals	All Fam Beds	DV Fam Beds	CH Fam Beds	Vet Fam Beds	Par Youth Beds	All Ind Beds
EOAPSH	GA0147	Renewal	CSRA S+CR_T	Georgia Housing & Finance Auth	PSH	General	No	No	0	0	0	0	0	13

RATING RESULTS



Sort project

Not all requirements met or threshold scoring not started

**RATING**

Project ID	DV Ind Beds	Total CH Ind Beds	Vet Ind Beds	Single Youth Beds	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Fam (Yes/No)	Is 100% Dedicated + or CH Ind (Yes/No)	Is 100% DV (Yes/No)	CoC Funding Requested	Amount of Other Public Funding (Federal, state, county, city)	Amount of private Funding	CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	Met All HUD Threshold Requirements	Met All CoC Threshold Requirements	Weighted Rating Score
EOAPSH	0	13	0	0	No	Yes	NO	\$120,588			\$120,588		Yes	90

**GENERAL FUNDING INFORMATION**

Annual Renewal Demand (ARD): \$ - CoC Bonus Funding: \$ - DV Bonus: \$ - YHDP: \$ -  
 Adjusted ARD: \$ - Tier 1 Funding: \$ - Tier 2 Funding: \$ -  
ARD-YHDP Adjusted ARD \* 95% (Adjusted ARD \* 5% + CoC Bonus) + DV Bonus  
 Total YHDP Renewals + Replacements

**FY2022 HUD CoC PROGRAM NOFO OPPORTUNITIES**

**Project Types to Consider for CoC Bonus/Reallocation:**

- New PSH for 100% Dedicated PLUS or chronically homeless individuals
- New PSH for 100% Dedicated PLUS or chronically homeless families
- New RRH for Individuals
- New RRH for families

**Project Types to Consider for DV Bonus Funding:**

- New TH+RRH for Families
- New TH+RRH for Individuals
- New HMIS
- New SSO coordinated entry

**Project Types to Consider for DV Bonus Funding:**

- New RRH for individuals
- New RRH for families
- New TH+RRH for individuals
- New TH+RRH for families
- New SSO coordinated entry

**FUNDING CEILINGS AND PRIORITIES BY PROJECT TYPE AND POPULATION**

For each project type/population combination, specify the maximum number of beds (renewal and new combined), maximum level of funding (ceiling), and relative priority. If beds or \$ are left blank, then projects within that category will not be capped. If the table below is blank, then projects will be ranked solely based on their rating scores. The ranking list will be generated in the following order:

- 1) HMIS and non-DV bonus-funded SSO-coordinated entry projects will be listed first in Tier 1 because they are required elements of a CoC's system. This does not mean that HUD is encouraging you to rank them first; rather you should set local policies on their relative priority and move them accordingly after the initial ranking is generated.
- 2) CoC Bonus/new DV bonus-funded projects will be ranked just like other projects, and will be highlighted in pink/gray formatting. You should set local policies on their relative priority and move them accordingly after the initial ranking is generated.
- 3) Projects in the high priority categories, listed in order of their rating score, up to the maximum number of beds or funding level specified for each project type/population.
- 4) Projects in the medium priority categories, listed in order of their rating score, up to the maximum number of beds or funding level specified for each project type/population.
- 5) Projects in the low priority categories, listed in order of their rating score, up to the maximum number of beds or funding level specified for each project type/population.
- 6) Projects with unspecified priority, listed in order of their rating score.
- 7) Other SSO grants.

Projects that exceed the beds or \$ targets specified on the chart will be listed in the "Projects Not Selected for Funding" section of the FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING tab because they represent inventory above the needs of the system. The CoC NOFO Committee may want to solicit additional projects to fill project type and population targets that are not met for this CoC Program NOFO or subsequent NOFOs.

[Instructions on Completing Funding Ceilings and Priorities](#)

Total \$ Need Specified Below: \$ -

	PSH			RRH			TH			TH+RRH		
	Beds	\$	Priority	Beds	\$	Priority	Beds	\$	Priority	Beds	\$	Priority
All Families <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>												
DV Families <input type="checkbox"/>												
Chronically Homeless Families <input type="checkbox"/>												
Veteran Families <input type="checkbox"/>												
Parenting Youth <input type="checkbox"/>												
All Individuals <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>												
DV Individuals <input type="checkbox"/>												
Chronically Homeless Individuals <input type="checkbox"/>												
Veteran Individuals <input type="checkbox"/>												
Single Youth <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>												











TIER (ARD - YHDP)	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
	↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-





















PROJECTS EXCEEDING ARD	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -
	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -
	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -
	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -
	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -
	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -
	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -
	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -
	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -
	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -
	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -
	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -
	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -
	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -
	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -
	↓	↑								\$ -	\$ -

	Ranking	Priority Level	Weighted Rating Score	Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate	Grant Number	Project Type	General/DV	Organization Name	Project Name	CoC Funding Requested	CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year
P PROJECTS (from HIC)		YHDP	N/A							\$ -	\$ -
		YHDP	N/A							\$ -	\$ -
		YHDP	N/A							\$ -	\$ -
		YHDP	N/A							\$ -	\$ -
		YHDP	N/A							\$ -	\$ -
		YHDP	N/A							\$ -	\$ -
		YHDP	N/A							\$ -	\$ -
		YHDP	N/A							\$ -	\$ -
		YHDP	N/A							\$ -	\$ -
		YHDP	N/A							\$ -	\$ -
		YHDP	N/A							\$ -	\$ -
		YHDP	N/A							\$ -	\$ -
		YHDP	N/A							\$ -	\$ -
		YHDP	N/A							\$ -	\$ -
	YHDP	N/A							\$ -	\$ -	







FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING

↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-
↓	↑		\$	-	\$	-



FUNDING ANALYSIS + RANKING

\$	-	
\$	-	
\$	-	
\$	-	
\$	-	
\$	-	
\$	-	
\$	-	
\$	-	
\$	-	
\$	-	
\$	-	
\$	-	
\$	-	
\$	-	
\$	-	
\$	-	